UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/626,165	07/24/2003	Christopher Cave	I-2-0369.1US	9718	
24374 VOLPE AND I	7590 03/19/200 KOENIG, P.C .	EXAMINER			
DEPT. ICC	,	LAM, DUNG LE			
UNITED PLAZA, SUITE 1600 30 SOUTH 17TH STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PHILADELPH	PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103			2617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/19/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/626,165	CAVE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	DUNG LAM	2617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be oly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS free, cause the application to become ABANDO	timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>30 L</u>	December 2008.					
· ·	·					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowed						
closed in accordance with the practice under	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>57-81</u> is/are pending in the application	☑ Claim(s) <u>57-81</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>57-81</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat* * See the attached detailed Office action for a list.	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicate the contract of th	ation No ived in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summa	ary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail					
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date) 5) ☐ Notice of Informa 6) ☐ Other:	ii i atorit Application (FTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/626,165 Page 2

Art Unit: 2617

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 12/30/2008 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 57-62, 64-69, 71-84, 86-88 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable Jollota et al. (US 2004/0142691, hereinafter Jollota) in view of Crichton (US Patent No. 6330459).

Regarding **claim 71**, **Jollota** teaches a base station comprising:

- the base station configured to detect omnidirectional sounding pulses from wireless transmit/receive units (WTRUs) (BSU detects a Bluetooth inquiry [0024]);
- the base station configured to communicate information related to a detected omnidirectional sounding pulse from a WTRU to an interface (BSU sends received data structure to PSC [0024]);

Application/Control Number: 10/626,165 Page 3

Art Unit: 2617

the base station configured to receive from the interface a notification to establish
a wireless communication with the WTRU (PSC sends connection command to
optimal BSU [0025-0026]); and

 the base station configured to begin a wireless communication with the WTRU in response to a notification to establish a wireless communication with the WTRU ([0025-0026]).

However, **Jollota** does not explicitly teach the base station configured to receive from the interface a relative location of the WTRU and selectively operating the beamforming antenna to direct a common channel toward the relative location of the WTRU.

In an analogous art, **Crichton** selectively operating the beamforming antenna (Fig. 3 and 4, Abstract) and the base station configured to receive from the interface a relative location of the WTRU and selectively operating the beamforming antenna to direct a common channel toward the relative location of the WTRU (BS receives from interface "OMC" to respond with narrow beam toward the direction of the communicating unit, C5 L55- C6 L5, C6 L25-55, C8 L40-60). Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine Jollota's teaching of establishing a handover communication with Crichton's teaching of using a beamforming antenna to direct the common channel toward the location of the MS to minimize interference (Crichton C6 L9).

Regarding **claims 57, 64, 76 and 82** they are methods and apparatus claims that have the same corresponding limitations as claim and thus are rejected for the same reasons as claim 71.

Regarding claims 58, 65, 72 and 77, Jollota and Crichton teach the method of claim 57 wherein the communicated information related to the detected omnidirectional sounding pulse includes information to facilitate determining the relative location of the WTRU ([0006]).

Regarding claim 59, 66, 73, 78, and 83, Jollota and Crichton teach the method of claim 58 wherein the communicated information related to the detected omnidirectional sounding pulse includes signal strength information ([24, 29], RSSI of received MU request), where the signal strength information indicates that the received signal strength crossed a threshold.

Regarding claim 60, 67, 74, 79, and 84, Jollota and Crichton teach the method of claim 57 wherein the communicated information related to the detected omnidirectional sounding pulse includes geolocation information (C5 L55- C6 L5, C6 L25-55, C8 L40-60).

Regarding claim 61, 68, 75 and 80, Jollota and Crichton teach the method of claim 57 further comprising transmitting a cyclic sweeping beacon channel (C5 L55- C6 L5, C6 L25-55, C8 L40-60).

Regarding **claim 62**, **69 and 81**, **Jollota and Crichton** teach the method of claim 57 wherein detecting the omnidirectional sounding pulse includes detecting at least one of a plurality of omnidirectional sounding pulses ([0024-0026]).

Page 5

Art Unit: 2617

Regarding claim 86, Jollota and Crichton teach the WTRU of claim 82 except wherein the antenna is an isotropic antenna configured to transmit equally in all directions. However, the examiner takes official notice that the use of isotropic antenna is well known in the art. Therefore it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine Jollota and Crichton's teaching with the isotropic antenna to communicate signals from all directions.

Regarding claim 87, Jollota and Crichton teach the WTRU of claim 82 wherein the antenna is a selectively operable beamforming antenna configured to transmit directional beams and omnidirection sounding pulses comprising a plurality of directional sounding pulses (C5 L55- C6 L5, C6 L25-55, C8 L40-60).

Claim 85 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable by Jollota and Crichton in view of Velazquez et al. (US Patent No. 6,593,880).

Regarding claim 85, Jollota and Crichton teach the WTRU of claim 82 but is silent that the mobile unit is equipped with a global positioning system (GPS) and the transmitting of an omnidirectional sounding pulse includes transmitting of mobile unit location information associated with the sounding pulse transmitted by the mobile unit and/or includes transmitting of identification information associated with the sounding pulse transmitted the mobile unit. In an analogous art, Velazquez teaches that the UE has a GPS (C8 L20-37). Therefore it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention for to add Valazquez's GPS to Watanabe and

Jollota's handoff method to speed up the location positioning of the handset and thus to promote a faster handoff process.

Page 6

Claims 63, 70 and 88 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jollota and Crichton in view of Anderson et al. (US Patent No. 5396541).

Regarding claim 63 and 70, Jollota and Crichton teach the method of claim 62 wherein the plurality of omnidirectional sounding pulses includes a first pulse having a first signal strength and a second pulse having a second signal strength, where the second signal strength is greater than the first signal strength. However, Anderson teaches a method of adjusting the power to a higher or lower level if the mobile is far or close from the base stations respectively (Col. 9, lines 50-15). In addition, it is also well known in the field of communications that after a failed transmission, one of ordinary skill in the art may use back-off algorithm to resend the signal in a predefined period of time. Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine Jollota and Crichton's handoff method and Anderson's teaching of a increasing the signal power (if the mobile is far away from the base station) at a predefined period to increase the chance of a successful handoff.

Regarding **claim 88**, **Jollota and Crichton** teach the WTRU of claim 82 except the antenna is configured to transmit a series of omnidirectional sounding pulses to establish a new wireless. . However, Anderson teaches a method of adjusting the

Art Unit: 2617

power to a higher or lower level if the mobile is far or close from the base stations respectively (Col. 9, lines 50-15). In addition, it is also well known in the field of communications that after a failed transmission, one of ordinary skill in the art may use back-off algorithm to resend the signal in a predefined period of time. Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine Watanabe and Jollota's handoff method and Anderson's teaching of a increasing the signal power (if the mobile is far away from the base station) at a predefined period to increase the chance of a successful handoff.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 58-88 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DUNG LAM whose telephone number is (571) 272-6497. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F 9 - 5:30 pm, Every Other Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Harper can be reached on (571) 272-7605. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 272-6497.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/VINCENT P. HARPER/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617